

FORM: WROD1 (Written record of an officer)

Written record of an officer decision: Non-Mayoral Function or Mayoral General Function

Please forward this form to **Angie Shearon, Governance Services Manager,** when completed, as soon as possible, but at the latest:

- for Key Decisions which may be called-in, to be received by midday on the second working day after the decision is made, and
- for any other decision, within 5 working days.

Publish promptly - you cannot implement any Key Decision open for call-in until midday on the 6TH day after it has been published as a written record of officer decision.

| Decision-maker (post title of the officer with authority to take the decision) | Combined Authority Managing Director |
|---|---|
| Title (For a Key Decision, this should be the same as the subject or title provided on the Key Decision Notice) | TCF: Selby Station Gateway Scheme |
| Is this a decision about a Mayoral General Function or Non-Mayoral function? | ☐ Mayoral General Function ☐ Non-Mayoral Function |
| Date Decision Taken | 16 February 2022 |
| Reasons for the decision | The meeting was an informal consultative meeting of members of the Place, Regeneration and Housing Committee held remotely on 7 February 2022 and the Managing Director had regard to both the report presented to that meeting and the views expressed by the members of the Committee before making the decision. |
| Details of the decision (Including any consultation carried out) | (i) The TCF Selby Station Gateway scheme proceeds through decision point 3 (outline business case) and work commences on activity 4 (full business case). |
| | (ii) An indicative approval to the Combined Authority's contribution of £20,502,216 is given. The total scheme value is £22,560,216. (iii) Development costs of £1,934,000 are approved in order to progress the scheme to decision point 4 (full business case) taking the total approval to £3,778,000. |
| | (iv) Further development costs of £2,135,000 required for completion of full business case, land acquisition and enabling works to be delegated to the Combined Authority's Director of Delivery following discharge of the conditions below and subject to Programme Appraisal Team's recommendation, taking the total approval to £5,913,000. |



FORM: WROD1 (Written record of an officer)

| | (v) The Combined Authority enters into an addendum to the existing Funding Agreement with North Yorkshire County Council for expenditure of up to £5,913,000. (vi) Future approvals are made in accordance with the assurance pathway and approval route outlined in this report. This will be subject to the scheme remaining within the tolerances outlined in this report. Conditions A progress report to be submitted to the Combined Authority's Programme Appraisal Team by end of March 2022 to include: Confirmation of the scheme's scope, outputs, benefits, costs, and programme based on: Affordability, deliverability, and stakeholder acceptability of the preferred OBC option. Construction methodology conclusion for the proposed underpass. A robust assessment of environmental, social, and distributional impacts induced by the scheme and report findings. The outcomes of the latest public consultation on the OBC preferred option. Quantification of the extent to which scheme interventions individually contribute to user disbenefit and environmental impacts. Subject to availability, the use of existing count data to capture the impacts of Denison Canal Bridge's closure to vehicles. | | | |
|---|---|--|---------------|--|
| Any alternative | | If yes, provide details: | | |
| option(s) considered and rejected | Yes ⊠ No | n yee, provide detailer | | |
| Is this a Key | | If yes, date notice of the Key | 14 April 2021 | |
| Decision? | Yes | Decision was published / whether an exception was relied | | |
| | ⊠ No | on: | | |
| Is the decision | | If yes, start of call-in period | [| |
| eligible for call-in by Scrutiny? | Yes | (date of publication) | | |
| _ | No | If yes, end of call-in period: | | |
| If the decision is not eligible for call-in by Scrutiny but is a Key Decision, state why the decision is urgent | | | | |
| Appendices attached | | | | |
| to this written record (List and identify any which | | | | |
| contain confidential or | | | | |
| exempt information.) | | | | |



FORM: WROD1 (Written record of an officer)

| Background documents to be published with the written record | https://westyorkshire.moderngov.co.uk/documents/s25261/Item%206%20- %20Capital%20Spending%20and%20Project%20Approvals.pdf | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Name of any Member who declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision | | | | |
| Contact Officer (For members of the public) | Name: Freedom.info@westyorks-ca.gov.uk Post-title: Telephone number: E-mail: Freedom.info@westyorks-ca.gov.uk | | | |
| Authority for Decision | | | | |
| The decision-maker was authorised to make this decision by: | | | | |
| the Combined Authority or a committee resolution, OR | ☐ Yes ☐ No (If yes, specify) | | | |
| the Officer Delegation Scheme, OR | ⊠ Yes □ No (If yes, specify) | | | |
| an Officer Sub- Delegation Scheme, OR | ☐ Yes ☐ No (If yes, specify) | | | |
| delegation from the Mayor, (not within the Officer Delegation Scheme) | □ Yes □ No (If yes, specify) | | | |